



## TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):

## SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION CHIDE

SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE						
Date: 08/12/15 Surveyor: Julian Turpin						
	) Tree/Gr own):Mr Alan Rogers Lymecroft 21A The Headway Ewell	·	ecies: LIM	E		
	y assessment suitability for TPO: ce Note for definitions					
5) Good 3) Fair 1) Poor 0) Unsafe	Highly suitable Suitable Unlikely to be suitable Unsuitable	Score & see report 3				
0) Dead	Unsuitable			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Refer to 'Specie	ongevity (in years) & suitability for T s Guide' section in Guidance Note	PO:				
5) 100+ 4) 40-100 2) 20-40 1) 10-20 0) <10	Suitable	Score & see report	-			
c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO: Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note						
5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public 3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only 2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty 1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size  Highly suitable Score & see report  Unlikely to be suitable Probably unsuitable					•	
d) Other factors Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify						
5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees 4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features						
Part 2: Expediency assessment Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note						
5) Known threat to tree 3) Foreseeable threat to tree 2) Perceived threat to tree 1) Precautionary only 0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance						
Part 3: Decision	guide					
Any 0 1-6 7-10 11-14	Do not apply TPO TPO indefensible Does not merit TPO TPO defensible	Add Scores for To	tal:	Decis Make	sion: e TPO	

Definitely merits TPO

15+

Site of Lymecroft 21A The Headway Ewell Sur	rey KT17 1UP	٦
Surveyor Julian Turpin	Date 09/12/15	
6	•	

## **Data Sheet for Trees**

TREE	NUMBER			sc	ORE	NOTES	٦
1.	Size						
	0	less than	2m²				
	0.5	very small	2 - 5m²				
	1	small	5 - 10m²			8x16 = 128 large	
	2	Small	10 - 20m²	6			
	3		20 - 30m²	11	ı		
	4	medium	30 - 50m²	L			
	5	medium	50 - 100m <sup>2</sup>	Ì			
	6	large	100 - 150m²				
	7	large	150 - 200m²				
	8	very large	200m²+				
2.	~	I duration	20011				_
	0	less than 2 years					
	1	2-5 years	,	13			
	2	5-40 years		11			
	3	40-100 years					
	4	100+ years					
3.		(Importance in the L	andscane)				-
	te assessn		anuscape)				
	0.25		: trees on remote parts of large co	intry estates			
	0.5		e: garden trees in groups of no part		75	·	
	0.0	individual importa		icaiai   join	١٠١		
	0.75	•	portance: prominent garden trees		ı		
	1.0		e: main feature or focal point				
nk#	c assessm	•	e. main leature or rocar point				
Fuuii	0		raca nat viaible from any nyblic you	todo noint			1
			rees not visible from any public van	- '			
	0.5		ance: trees only seen with difficulty	or by a very			Į
	4	small number of	•				
	1		: most trees in woodlands, back ga	raens or in			
	2	groups of trees,					
	2	· ·	e: individual roadside trees. Trees o	lose to busy			
		roads. Trees in p	•		1		
	2 4 4		potpaths in grounds of hospitals, co	- ,			
	3		portance: prominent individual trees			·	
	A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s such as town centres, shopping o	<b>t</b>			
	4	·	e: trees which are of crucial importa	nce as the			
4.	Other tree	principal feature	or a public prace				_
7.	0.5		f the visual area covered by trees,				ł
	0.5	and at least 100	•				
	1						
	1.		f the visual area covered by trees,				
	2	and at least 10 t		1 1	7		
	4	and at least 4 tre	f the visual area covered by trees,	11'			
	3		the visual area covered by trees.				
	J						
	4		other tree present esent in the area under considerati	n			
5.		······································	esent in the area brider consideration	711			-
<b>J</b> .	0	to setting totally unsuitable					
	0.5	moderately unsui		3			-
	1	just suitable	lane				
	2						
	3	fairly suitable		-			
		very suitable	No				
	Λ	particularly suitab	ЛE	1			4
	4		······································				
6.	Form			12	¬,		-
6.	Form 0.5	Trees which are o		2	7		
6.	Form		form	2			

6x3x2.75x1x3x2x£30.84 = £9159.48	

